

Raman scattering spectra of elementary electronic excitations in coupled double quantum well structures

P. I. Tamborenea and S. Das Sarma

Department of Physics

University of Maryland

College Park, Maryland 20742-4111

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

Using the time-dependent-local-density-approximation (TDLDA) within a self-consistent linear response theory, we calculate the elementary excitation energies and the associated inelastic light scattering spectra of a strongly coupled two-component plasma in a double quantum well system with electron occupation of symmetric and antisymmetric subbands. We find, consistent with the results of a recent experimental Raman scattering study, that the intersubband spin density excitations tend to merge with the single particle excitations (i.e. the excitonic shift decreases monotonically) as the Fermi energy increases beyond the symmetric-antisymmetric energy gap Δ_{SAS} . However, our TDLDA calculation does not show the abrupt suppression of the excitonic shift seen experimentally at a finite value of the subband occupancy parameter $\eta \equiv \Delta_{SAS}/E_F$.

73.20.Mf, 71.45.Gm, 78.20.Ls, 78.66.Fd

In an interesting recent experimental Raman scattering study, Decca *et al.* reported [1] the observation of the suppression of the collective intersubband spin density excitation (SDE) in a coupled double quantum well (DQW) structure in the high electron density (N_s) limit when the two low-lying DQW subbands, the so-called symmetric (S) and antisymmetric (AS) levels with a single-particle energy gap $\Delta_{SAS} < E_F$ (where E_F is the two dimensional Fermi energy) separating them, are both densely occupied. In this Rapid Communication we provide a detailed quantitative calculation of the elementary excitation spectra of the coupled DQW system discussing in particular the recent inelastic light scattering experimental observations. We find excellent agreement between our theoretical results and experimental data for both the charge density excitation (CDE) and the SDE spectra except for the samples with highest N_s where the subband filling parameter $\eta \equiv \Delta_{SAS}/E_F \lesssim 0.25$. Our main interest in this paper, following Ref. [1], is to investigate the strong-coupling two-component situation $\eta < 1$ when the S and AS subbands are both occupied by electrons. Around a small critical value of η (≈ 0.1), the experimental SDE abruptly merges with the single particle excitation (SPE) spectra, whereas our calculated SDE merges with the SPE monotonically as a continuous function of η as η approaches zero without showing any sudden collapse around $\eta \approx 0.1$. Aside from this important qualitative difference associated with the abrupt collapse of the experimental vertex correction (the energy difference between the SPE and the SDE arises from the excitonic vertex correction) around $\eta \approx 0.1$, our theoretical results agree very well (within 0.5 meV) with the experimental measurements.

A typical DQW structure used in our calculation is shown in Fig. 1, where the electron gas is taken to be confined in the translationally invariant x-y plane and the growth direction is the z-axis. Following the experimental work of Decca *et al.* [1] we have used in our calculations several different DQW structures with varying N_s and well parameters so as to have many different values of Δ_{SAS} and the occupancy parameter η ($\equiv \Delta_{SAS}/E_F$). Our calculation involves three steps. First, we carry out a self-consistent local density approximation (LDA) calculation [2–4] of the DQW subband energy levels E_i and wavefunctions $\phi_i(z)$ (a typical example being shown in Fig. 1) using the best available exchange-correlation

potential [5]. Note that by definition $\Delta_{\text{SAS}} = E_2 - E_1$ is the energy difference between the lowest two subbands of the DQW. Then we calculate the irreducible (Π) and the reducible ($\tilde{\Pi}$) polarizability functions of the electron layer confined in the DQW structure using the self-consistent LDA subband energies and wavefunctions in a linear response theory [2,4,6]. The irreducible and the reducible response functions are formally connected by the matrix relation $\tilde{\Pi} = \Pi \varepsilon^{-1}$ where $\varepsilon = 1 - V\Pi$ is the tensor representing the subband dielectric function with V as the direct Coulomb interaction. The irreducible response function Π is connected to the bare LDA polarizability function Π_0 (which is just the two-dimensional Lindhard function using LDA energies and wavefunctions) through the vertex correction $\Pi = \Pi_0(1 + U_{\text{xc}}\Pi)^{-1}$ where U_{xc} represents the LDA exchange-correlation induced vertex correction. Thus Π_0 , Π , and $\tilde{\Pi}$ are respectively the “bare” polarizability bubble (including, however, renormalized LDA quasiparticle energies), the vertex corrected polarizability, and the screened polarizability. Once Π_0 , Π , and $\tilde{\Pi}$ are calculated their respective poles (or, peaks) immediately give us the SPE, the SDE, and the CDE energies. The third step of our calculation involves directly obtaining the Raman scattering spectra for the SDE and the CDE which are given respectively by the spectral strengths $\text{Im } \Pi$ and $\text{Im } \tilde{\Pi}$. (For the purpose of comparison we also calculate the SPE spectral strength given by $\text{Im } \Pi_0$, which according to the simple linear response theory, should not be accessible to Raman scattering experiments [1].) Some typical calculated Raman spectra are shown in Fig. 2 of this paper. The self-consistent linear response integral equations connecting the dynamical polarizability functions $\Pi_0(z, z')$, $\Pi(z, z')$, $\tilde{\Pi}(z, z')$, are solved in the subband representation. The procedure is standard and has been described in the literature [4,6]. We adapt this technique for the experimental DQW structures of Ref. [1] using the self-consistent LDA wavefunctions and energies. In the rest of this paper we discuss our numerical results comparing critically with the experimental data [1]. All our calculations assume the effective mass approximation for the GaAs – $\text{Al}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{As}$ – GaAs DQW structures and we use GaAs conduction band parameters [3] in our LDA calculations. The DQW well parameters and electron gas densities are taken from Ref. [1].

In Fig. 2 we show calculated results for the spectral weights of the SDE and SPE modes for several values of the wavevector transfer q for a sample with $N_S = 6.35 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and $\eta = 0.12$. When confronted with Fig. 2 of Ref. [1], this figure shows that the collapsed SDE and SPE experimental peaks show a wave-vector dependent lineshape that agrees better with the theoretical lineshape of the SPE than with that of the SDE. Aside from the results shown in Fig. 2, we only discuss results corresponding to the experimental backscattering geometry with very small wavevector transfer, $q = 0.1 \times 10^4 \text{cm}^{-1}$ as used in the experimental set-up [1], in the plane of the electron layer. (All the elementary excitation energies presented in this paper correspond essentially to the long wavelength, i.e. $q \approx 0$, limit for the mode wavevector in the x-y plane because this is the situation reported in the work of Ref. [1]). The results of this paper correspond to *intersubband* elementary excitations (associated with the quantized z-motion of the electron gas) arising from transitions between the symmetric and the antisymmetric energy levels (i.e. the lowest two energy levels shown in Fig. 1) — the elementary excitations associated with transitions to the higher subbands are at considerably higher energies and were not studied in Ref. [1]. Thus, the SPE peak corresponding to the pole in Π_0 always occurs at the energy $E = \Delta_{\text{SAS}}$ corresponding to the (LDA-renormalized) symmetric-antisymmetric gap between the lowest two levels. Note that in our calculations of the spectral weight functions ($\text{Im } \Pi_0$, $\text{Im } \Pi$, $\text{Im } \tilde{\Pi}$ corresponding respectively to SPE, SDE, and CDE) we use a small collisional broadening $\Gamma \approx 0.1 \text{meV}$ taken from the experimental mobility values.

It is traditional to write the intersubband elementary excitation energies as [2,4,7–9]

$$E_{\text{CD}}^2 = E_{\text{SD}}^2 + 2E_{\text{SP}}(n_S - n_{\text{AS}})\alpha^* \quad (1)$$

$$E_{\text{SD}}^2 = E_{\text{SP}}^2 - 2E_{\text{SP}}(n_S - n_{\text{AS}})\beta^* \quad (2)$$

where $n_{\text{S(AS)}}$ are the occupancies of the symmetric (antisymmetric) subbands (i.e. $N_S = n_S + n_{\text{AS}}$), $E_{\text{SP}} \equiv \Delta_{\text{SAS}}$, and α^* , β^* are parameters (which depend on E_i , $\phi_i(z)$, V , and U_{xc}) which determine [10] the depolarization shift and the vertex correction, respectively. Note

[10] that the definitions of the depolarization shift (α^*) and the vertex correction (β^*) shifts as given in Eqs. (1) and (2) above explicitly incorporate the occupancy factor dependence (i.e. the $n_S - n_{AS}$ factor) arising from the Pauli principle, thus eliminating the trivial dependence of both the depolarization shift and the vertex correction on the occupancy difference as both (S and AS) subbands are occupied. Any dependence of the depolarization shift and the vertex correction parameters α^* and β^* on the subband occupancy factor η (where $\eta < 1$ means both subbands are occupied) necessarily arises from nontrivial screening and exchange-correlation corrections and *not* as a trivial manifestation of the Pauli principle. Following the experimental procedure of Ref. [1], we calculate the α^* and β^* parameters by obtaining E_{SP} (poles of $Im \Pi_0$, i.e. the LDA energy levels), E_{SD} (poles of $Im \Pi$ including vertex corrections), and E_{CD} (poles of $Im \tilde{\Pi}$) from our time-dependent LDA-linear response calculations. (The occupancies n_S and n_{AS} are known from our LDA calculations.)

In Figs. 3 and 4 we show a direct comparison between our theoretical calculations and experimental measurements for β^* and α^* as functions of the filling parameter η (Fig. 3) and the total electron density N_S (Fig. 4) for all seven samples employed by Decca *et al.* [1]. In general, β^* goes down with decrease (increase) in η (N_S) both in experiment and theory, showing very good agreement (better than 0.3 meV in absolute energies of $\Delta_{SAS} - E_{SD}$) except for one qualitative difference, namely, in theory β^* decreases monotonically with decreasing (increasing) η (N_S) whereas in experiment β^* seems to go abruptly to zero around $\eta \approx 0.1$ (i.e. the vertex correction vanishes around $\eta \approx 0.1$ making the SDE and SPE indistinguishable). Note that even around $\eta \approx 0.1$, the actual energetic difference between our theoretically predicted SDE peak and the experimental “SDE” peak ($\equiv$ “SPE” with $\beta^* = 0$) is typically small (~ 0.2 – 0.3 meV). For the depolarization shift (α^*) experiment and theory, in general, agree very well (except again for $\eta \lesssim 0.25$ there is some quantitative difference with the experimental CDE energies being typically 0.5 meV below the theoretical calculations). The important point to note about the depolarization shift is that α^* is reasonably insensitive to variation in η (or, N_S), changing little over an almost order of magnitude change in electron density, both in experiment and theory.

For obvious reasons (namely, that it is not possible to vary N_S or η in a single DQW sample—each sample comes with its fixed N_S and η values) the experimental results (and the corresponding theoretical results) are for seven different DQW samples corresponding to the seven different data points shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Theoretically, of course, we can vary η continuously in a fixed sample by changing N_S continuously in our calculations. Results of such a calculation for a fixed sample are shown in Fig. 5 as continuous functions of N_S (main figures) and η (insets). (For completeness, in Fig. 5 we show β^* calculated using both local-charge-density and local-spin-density exchange-correlation potentials [9]. The difference between the two curves is less than the experimental errors for the range of η under consideration.) These results clearly demonstrate (without the necessary numerical scatter of Figs. 3 and 4 which arise from using different samples) that: (i) The vertex correction β^* decreases monotonically with decreasing (increasing) η (N_S), becoming very small as η approaches zero; (ii) The calculated β^* does not agree well with experiment for $\eta \lesssim 0.25$, and most notably, it does not go abruptly to zero for a finite value of η , as it does in the experiment for $\eta \approx 0.1$; (iii) The depolarization shift α^* is essentially insensitive to η (or N_S) remaining a constant over a broad range of density and occupancy values.

In summary, we have theoretically calculated the lowest intersubband SDE and CDE energies in a strongly-coupled two-component DQW structure where both the symmetric and the antisymmetric subbands are occupied, finding, in good agreement with a recent experiment [1], that the vertex correction β^* decreases monotonically with decreasing subband occupancy parameter $\eta \equiv \Delta_{SAS}/E_F$ or increasing the electron density N_S whereas the depolarization shift α^* is insensitive to changing η and N_S . In contrast to the experimental finding that $\beta^* \approx 0$ abruptly around $\eta \approx 0.1$, β^* decreases monotonically in our theory with decreasing (increasing) η (N_S) becoming very small for small η . Thus, while our time-dependent LDA theory correctly describes the broad quantitative features of experiment quite well, the abrupt collapse of the vertex correction must be arising from higher order vertex diagrams (as discussed in Ref. [1]) not included in the ladder vertex corrections [4] of our time-dependent-LDA theory. Our results for β^* can be meaningfully understood

as the screening out of the excitonic correction in the high density limit which makes the vertex correction vanish. While the excitonic correction arising from exchange interaction is screened, the direct Coulomb interaction leading to the depolarization shift is obviously unscreened, and, therefore, α^* remains unchanged as N_S increases.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Drs. R. Decca and A. Pinczuk for helpful discussions and for communicating to us their unpublished data. This work is supported by the US-ARO, US-ONR, and the NSF-MRG.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Decca, A. Pinczuk, S. Das Sarma, B. S. Dennis, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 1506 (1994); R. Decca, A. Pinczuk (unpublished, private communication).
- [2] T. Ando, Z. Phys. B**26**, 263 (1977); S. Katayama and T. Ando, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **54**, 1615 (1985).
- [3] F. Stern and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B **30**, 840 (1984).
- [4] I. K. Marmorkos and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 1544 (1993), references therein.
- [5] D. Ceperley, Phys. Rev. B **18**, 3126 (1978); D. M. Ceperley and B. J. Alder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 566 (1988); J. Perdew and A. Zunger, Phys. Rev. B **23**, 5048 (1981).
- [6] Jainendra K. Jain and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B **36**, 5949 (1987); S. Das Sarma, in *Light Scattering in Semiconductor Structures and Superlattices*, edited by D. J. Lockwood and J. F. Young (Plenum Press, New York, 1991) and references therein.
- [7] T. Ando, A. B. Fowler, and F. Stern, Rev. Mod. Phys. **54**, 437 (1982).
- [8] A. Pinczuk, S. Schmitt-Rink, G. Danan, J. P. Valladares, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 1633 (1989).
- [9] D. Gammon, B. V. Shanabrook, J. C. Ryan, D. S. Katzer, and M. J. Young, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 1884 (1992); D. Gammon, B. V. Shanabrook, J. C. Ryan, D. S. Katzer, Phys. Rev. B **41**, 12311 (1990).
- [10] Following references [2,4,7–9] one could define $\alpha = (n_S - n_{AS})\alpha^*$ and $\beta = (n_S - n_{AS})\beta^*$, which are more appropriate in the extreme quantum limit ($\eta > 1$) when only the lowest subband is occupied, making $\alpha = N_S\alpha^*$ and $\beta = N_S\beta^*$. When both subbands are occupied ($\eta < 1$) it is appropriate to eliminate the trivial Pauli principle-induced occupancies factor from the definitions of α and β as was done in Ref. [1].

FIGURES

FIG. 1. A typical double quantum well structure, given by the bare confining potential V_{CONF} , used in the experimental study of Ref. [1], and its self-consistent LDA subband energy levels E_i , eigenfunctions ϕ_i , electron density $n(z)$, Fermi energy E_F , and effective, Hartree, and exchange-correlation potentials V_{EFF} , V_H , and V_{XC} . The areal density is $N_s = 2.68 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

FIG. 2. Calculated Raman spectra for the spin density excitation (SDE), and the single particle excitation (SPE), given by $\text{Im } \Pi$ and $\text{Im } \Pi_0$, respectively, for several values of the in-plane wavevector transfer q . The DQW sample has well width $d_w = 139 \text{ \AA}$, barrier width $d_b = 28 \text{ \AA}$, density $N_S = 6.35 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and subband occupancy parameter $\eta = 0.12$.

FIG. 3. Calculated and experimentally measured depolarization shift and excitonic vertex correction parameters α^* and β^* as functions of the filling parameter $\eta \equiv \Delta_{\text{SAS}}/E_F$, for the different DQW samples studied in the experiments of Ref. [1].

FIG. 4. Calculated and experimentally measured depolarization shift and excitonic vertex correction parameters α^* and β^* as functions of the total electron density N_S , for the different DQW samples studied in the experiments of Ref. [1].

FIG. 5. Calculated depolarization shift and excitonic vertex correction parameters α^* and β^* as functions of areal density N_S , for a DQW structure of well width $139 \text{ \AA}$ and barrier width $40 \text{ \AA}$. Insets: α^* and β^* for the same DQW as functions of the filling parameter $\eta \equiv \Delta_{\text{SAS}}/E_F$. The solid and dashed lines give β^* calculated using local-charge-density and local-spin-density exchange-correlation potentials, respectively.